# Multi-contextual structures and Label-free calculi for Intuitionistic Modal Logics

#### D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI

LORIA - UL, Nancy, France

## Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

## Modal Logics

- Modelling of systems : how to capture specific aspects like temporality, spatiality, resource management, etc...
   ⇒ Modalities
- Various kinds of modal logics : classical, intuitionistic, fuzzy, linear.
- Extension of classical logic with modalities : □ (necessity) and ◊ (possibility)
  - modalities interpreted in a set of worlds with an accessibility relation.
  - modal logics differ by the properties associated to the accessibility relation : reflexivity (*T*), symmetry (*B*), transitivity (4), euclidness (5).

- Intuitionistic reasoning in modal logics (Simpson 94)
- Algorithmic contents of proofs (Curry-Howard isomorphism)
- Applications in computer science :
  - Formal verification of hardware (Fairtlough et al. 94)
  - Definition of programming languages (Davies et al. 01, Murphy VII et al. 04)
  - Expressivity of properties in communicating systems (Stirling 87)

## Proof theory in modal logics

Existing works and results

- Natural deduction systems in classical case but rare in intuitionistic case : problem to deal with ◊ (Simpson 94).
- Natural deduction systems satisfying normalization are based on labels.
  - Labels explicitly integrate semantic information like the accessibility relation
- Sequent calculi with labels for various modal logics (Negri 05) but without some properties like subformula property.

Problem : how to design label-free calculi with good properties for intuitionistic modal logics ?

## Our approach

Definition of multi-contextual structures without labels

- Such a structure for sequent calculi in classical modal logics : deep sequent (Brünnler 09)
- No similar structure for intuitionistic modal logics with natural deduction and sequent formalisms.
- Preliminary results in IS5 : MC-sequent (Galmiche-Salhi 10)

Design of label-free calculi for intuitionistic modal logics

- Natural deduction and sequent calculi systems
- Intuitionistic modal logics obtained from the combinations of the axioms T, B, 4 and 5
- Good properties : normalization, cut-elimination, subformula properties.
- Decision procedures and syntactic proofs of decidability in some cases.

- Definition of a new multi-contextual (sequent) structure : T-sequent
- Label-free proof systems for the intuitionistic logic IK with normalization/cut-elimination property and subformula property.
- Label-free proof systems for intuitionistic modal logics obtained from the combinations of the axioms T, B, 4 and 5
  - Normalization/cut-elimination property
  - Subformula property

Decision procedures for some intuitionistic modal logics

#### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
  - 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

## Classical modal logics (normal)

 $\blacksquare$  Extensions of classical logic with modalities :  $\Box$  ,  $\diamondsuit$ 

 $A ::= p \mid \bot \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid A \supset A \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$ 

Kripke semantics : Models M = (W, R, V) with W set of worlds and R relation of accessibility.



## Classical modal logics (normal)

Extensions of classical logic with modalities :  $\Box$ ,  $\diamondsuit$ 

 $A ::= p \mid \bot \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid A \supset A \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$ 

- Kripke semantics : Models M = (W, R, V) with W set of worlds and R relation of accessibility.
- Satisfaction relation :
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} p$  iff  $p \in V(w)$
  - $w \models_{\mathcal{M}} \bot$  never
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \land B$  iff  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  and  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \lor B$  iff  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  or  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \supset B$  iff if  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  then  $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} \Box A$  iff for all  $w' \in W$ , if R(w, w') then  $w' \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$
  - $w \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} \Diamond A$  iff if there exists  $w' \in W$  such that R(w, w') and  $w' \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$

· < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## Classical modal logics (normal)

- Classical modal models define validity in the minimal modal logic K : a formula A is valid in K iff A is valid in all classical modal models (Chellas 80)
- Other modal logics built from combinations of the axioms T, B, 4 and 5 are defined by classes of classical modal models.
- Each axiom corresponds to a property of the accessibility relation in each model :
  - (7) Reflexivity :  $\forall w.R(w,w)$ ;
  - (B) Symmetry :  $\forall w, w'. R(w, w') \supset R(w', w);$ (A) Transitivity :  $\forall w, w', w', w', w'' \in \mathcal{B}(w', w') \land \mathcal{B}(w', w''))$
  - (4) Transitivity : ∀w, w', w''.(R(w, w') ∧ R(w', w'')) ⊃ R(w, w'');
    (5) Euclidness : ∀w, w', w''.(R(w, w') ∧ R(w, w'')) ⊃ R(w', w'').
- For Th ⊆ {T, B, 4, 5} the class of models defining the logics KTh, corresponds to models in which the accessibility relations satisfy the given properties

Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



Classical modal logics (normal) minus  $A \lor \neg A$ .

Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



Classical modal logics (normal) minus  $A \lor \neg A$ .

Relationships between IML and CML like the ones between IL and CL.

Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



- Classical modal logics (normal) minus  $A \lor \neg A$ .
- Relationships between IML and CML like the ones between IL and CL.
- Modalities are independent.

Simpson's approach (Simpson 94) :



- Classical modal logics (normal) minus  $A \lor \neg A$ .
- Relationships between IML and CML like the ones between IL and CL.
- Modalities are independent.
- First intuitionistic modal logics (Fitch 48, Prior 57)

## Intuitionistic modal logics (normal)

- Intuitionistic reasoning associated to modal logics
- $\Rightarrow$  Classical modal logics minus  $A \lor \neg A$ .
  - Kripke semantics : Models M = (W, ≤, D<sub>w∈W</sub>, R<sub>w∈W</sub>, V<sub>w∈W</sub>) with W set of worlds and D<sub>w</sub> set of modal worlds.



•  $w \leqslant w'$  entails  $D_w \subseteq D_{w'}$  &  $R_w \subseteq R_{w'}$  &  $V_w \subseteq V_{w'}$ 

# Intuitionistic modal logics (normal)

- Satisfaction relation :
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} p$  iff  $p \in V_w(d)$
  - $w, d \models_{\mathcal{M}} \bot$  never
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \land B$  iff  $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  and  $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \lor B$  iff  $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  or  $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A \supset B$  iff for all  $w' \ge w$ , if  $w', d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$  then  $w', d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} B$
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} \Box A$  iff for all  $w' \ge w$  and for all  $d' \in D_{w'}$ , if  $R_{w'}(d, d')$  then  $w', d' \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$
  - $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} \Diamond A$  iff there exists  $d' \in D_w$  such that  $R_w(d, d')$  and  $w, d \vDash_{\mathcal{M}} A$
- Monotonicity :  $w \leq w'$  and  $w, d \vDash A$  entails  $w', d \vDash A$
- For any Th ⊆ {T, B, 4, 5} we call IKTh the intuitionistic version of the classical modal logic KTh.

## Intuitionistic modal logics and proof systems

A Hilbert axiomatic system for IK (Simpson 94).

 Natural deduction system for S4 and S5 and their intuitionistic versions (Prawitz 65, Bierman-de Paiva 00)

Proof systems for intuitionistic modal logic are rare

- Labelled calculi (Dosen 86, Simpson 94) but no subformula property.
- Label-free sequent calculi for classical modal logics (Brünnler 09).
- Label-free systems for fragments without ♦ of IK, IS4 and IS5 (Ono 77, Bierman et De Paiva 2000 ) but cut-elimination property.

#### Multi-contextual structures and disjunctive property

### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
  - 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

### Multi-contextual structures

• Sequent : 
$$A_1, \ldots, A_k \vdash C$$
  
 $(A_1 \land \cdots \land A_k \supset C)$ 



■ Hypersequent :  $\Gamma_1 \vdash C_1 \mid \ldots \mid \Gamma_n \vdash C_n$ (( $\land \Gamma_1 \supset C_1$ )  $\lor \cdots \lor (\land \Gamma_n \supset C_n$ ))



Deep sequent : A<sub>1</sub>,..., A<sub>k</sub>, [Γ<sub>1</sub>],..., [Γ<sub>l</sub>] with {A<sub>1</sub>,..A<sub>k</sub>} multiset of formulas and {Γ<sub>1</sub>,..Γ<sub>l</sub>} multiset of deep sequents.
 (∨ A<sub>i</sub> ∨ □F(Γ<sub>1</sub>) ∨ ... ∨ □F(Γ<sub>l</sub>))

Tree-sequent (or T-sequent)

- T-context : A<sub>1</sub>,..., A<sub>k</sub>, ⟨Γ<sub>1</sub>⟩,..., ⟨Γ<sub>l</sub>⟩ with {A<sub>1</sub>,..A<sub>k</sub>} multiset of formulas and {Γ<sub>1</sub>,..Γ<sub>l</sub>} multiset of T-contexts.
   (∧ A<sub>i</sub> ∧ ◊F(Γ<sub>1</sub>) ∧ ... ∧ ◊F(Γ<sub>k</sub>))
- T-sequent :
  - $\Gamma, C^{\vdash}$  with  $\Gamma$  is a T-context  $(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \supset C)$ .
  - $\Gamma, \langle S \rangle$  with  $\Gamma$  is a T-context and S is a T-sequent  $(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \supset \Box(\mathcal{F}(S)))$

#### **T**-sequent



T-sequent : a new multi-contextual structure

A T-sequent is different from a deep sequent (Brünnler 2009)

- one distinguishes one formula (the marked one)
- one deals with the two modalities  $\Box$ ,  $\Diamond$  and not only with  $\Box$ .

(E) ► < E >

### *n*T-contexts and inference rules

■ *n*T-context : a T-context with *n* occurrences of {} (hole).

- Notation : 
$$\Gamma\{\}\cdots\{\}$$
  
- Hole substitution :  $\Gamma\{\Delta_1\}\cdots\{\Delta_n\}$ 

- Example :

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma\{\} = \Box(A \supset B), \Diamond A, \langle A, \{\} \rangle \\ & \Gamma\{C, D^{\vdash}\} = \Box(A \supset B), \Diamond A, \langle A, C, D^{\vdash} \rangle \end{split}$$

Form of inference rules :

$$\frac{\Gamma\{\Delta_1^1\}\cdots\{\Delta_k^1\}\cdots\Gamma\{\Delta_k^1\}\cdots\{\Delta_k^k\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta_1\}\cdots\{\Delta_k\}} [R]$$

### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

## The sequent calculus $G_{IK}(1)$

- Left rules : they deal with the T-context
- Right rules : they deal with the marked formulae
- Propositional rules :

 $[id] \qquad [L]$  $\frac{\Gamma\{A_1 \land A_2, A_i\}\{C^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A_1 \land A_2\}\{C^{\vdash}\}} [\land_L^i] \qquad \frac{\Gamma\{A^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A \land B^{\vdash}\}} [\land_R]$  $\frac{\Gamma\{A \lor B, A\}\{C^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A \lor B\}\{C^{\vdash}\}} \quad [\lor_{L}] \qquad \frac{\Gamma\{A_{i}^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A_{1} \lor A_{2}^{\vdash}\}} \quad [\lor_{R}^{i}]$  $\frac{\Gamma\{A \supset B, A^{\vdash}\}\{\emptyset\} \qquad \Gamma\{A \supset B, B\}\{C^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A \supset B\}\{C^{\vdash}\}} \qquad [\supset_{L}] \qquad \frac{\Gamma\{A, B^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{A \supset B^{\perp}\}} \qquad [\supset_{R}]$  $\frac{\Gamma\{A^{\vdash}\}\{\emptyset\}}{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}\{C^{\vdash}\}}$  [Cut]

◆ロ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● の Q @

# The sequent calculus $G_{IK}$ (2)

Modal rules :

$$\frac{\Gamma\{\langle A \rangle, \diamondsuit A\}\{C^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A\}\{C^{\vdash}\}} [\diamondsuit_{L}] \qquad \frac{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta, A^{\vdash} \rangle\}}{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta \rangle, \diamondsuit A^{\vdash}\}} [\diamondsuit_{R}] \\
\frac{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta, A \rangle, \Box A\}}{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta \rangle, \Box A\}} [\Box_{L}] \qquad \frac{\Gamma\{\langle A^{\vdash} \rangle\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A^{\vdash}\}} [\Box_{R}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\{A^{\vdash}\}\{\emptyset\}}{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}\{C^{\vdash}\}} \quad [Cut]$$

臣

- ∢ ∃ →

# Construction of calculi $G_{IKTh}$ (1)

We associate to each logic IKTh, with Th  $\subseteq$  {T, B, 4, 5}, the sequent calculus  $G_{IKTh}$  that is obtained from the previous rules as follows :

- if IKTh is IS5 then  $G_{IKTh}$  is obtained from  $G_{IK}$  by replacing the rules  $[\Box_L]$  and  $[\diamondsuit_R]$  by the rules  $[\Box_L^{IS5}]$  and  $[\diamondsuit_R^{S5}]$ ;
- if IKTh is IB4 then  $G_{IKTh}$  is obtained from  $G_{IK}$  by replacing the rules  $[\Box_L]$  and  $[\diamondsuit_R]$  by the rules  $[\Box_L^{IB4}]$  and  $[\diamondsuit_R^{B4}]$ ;
- otherwise  $G_{IKTh}$  is obtained by adding to  $G_{IK}$  the rules  $[\Box_L^x]$  and  $[\diamondsuit_R^x]$  for all  $x \in Th$ .

(本部) (本語) (本語) (三語

# Construction of calculi $G_{IKTh}$ (2)

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma\{\Box A, A\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \ [\Box_{L}^{T}] & \frac{\Gamma\{A^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A^{\vdash}\}} \ [\Diamond_{R}^{T}] & \frac{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta, \Box A\rangle, A\}}{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta, \Box A\rangle\}} \ [\Box_{L}^{B}] & \frac{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta\rangle, A^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{\langle \Delta, \Diamond A^{\vdash}\rangle\}} \ [\Diamond_{R}^{B}] \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\{A\}, \Box A\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\{\emptyset\}, \Box A\}} \ [\Box_{L}^{4}](depth(\Delta\{\}) > 1) & \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\{A^{\vdash}\}\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\{\emptyset\}, \Diamond A^{\vdash}\}} \ [\Diamond_{R}^{4}](depth(\Delta\{\}) > 1) \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma\{\Box A\}\{A\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}\{\emptyset\}} \ [\Box_{L}^{5}](depth(\Gamma\{\}\{\emptyset\}) > 0 \ \text{and} \ depth(\Gamma\{\emptyset\}\{\}) > 0) \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}\{A^{\vdash}\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A^{\vdash}\}\{\emptyset\}} \ [\Diamond_{R}^{5}](depth(\Gamma\{\}\{\emptyset\}) > 0 \ \text{and} \ depth(\Gamma\{\emptyset\}\{\}) > 0) \end{array}$$

The depth of a 1*T*-context  $\Gamma$ {} is defined as follows :  $depth(\Gamma, \{\}) = 0$ ;  $depth(\Gamma, \langle \Delta \{\} \rangle) = 1 + depth(\Delta \{\})$ .

Construction of calculi  $G_{IKTh}$  (3)



Let S be a T-sequent, sp(S) is true iff if the depth of the tree corresponding to S is greater than 0.

#### Theorem [Soundness]

If a T-sequent has a preuve in  $G_{IKTh}$  then it is valid in IKTh.

#### Theorem [Completeness]

If a T-sequent is valid in IKTh then it has a proof in  $G_{IKTh}$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \langle \Box A \rangle, A, A^{\vdash} & [id] \\ \hline \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash} & [\Box_{L}^{B}] \\ \hline \hline \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash} & [\diamondsuit_{L}] \\ \hline \Diamond \Box A, A^{\vdash} & [\diamondsuit_{R}] \\ \hline \hline \Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} & [\supset_{R}] \end{array}$ 

D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

3

### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof



D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

3

### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof



D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

크

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof



D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

크

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

#### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof



D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

크

個人 くほん くほんし

#### $G_{\rm IKTh}$ - an example of proof



D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

크

個人 くほん くほんし

# Properties of $G_{IKTh}$

#### Theorem [Cut-elimination property]

If S is a T-sequent has a proof in  $G_{IKTh}$  then there is a proof of S without the (cut) rule.

#### Theorem [Subformula property]

If S is a T-sequent valid in IKTh, then there exists a proof of S in  $G_{IKTh}^-$  containing only subformulae of the formulae appearing in S.

#### Theorem [Depth property]

Let S be a T-sequent and D a proof of S in  $G^-_{\mathsf{IKTh}}$  for Th  $\in \{\emptyset, \{T\}, \{B\}, \{T, B\}\}$ . If S' is a T-sequent in D then its depth is less or equal to d(S) + nest(S).

米間ト 米国ト 米国ト 三国

### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

#### A preorder on T-sequents :

- Relation 
$$\rightarrow_c$$
 (contraction) defined by :  
 $\Gamma\{\Delta, \Delta\} \rightarrow_c \Gamma\{\Delta\}, \Gamma\{\langle \Delta, C^{\vdash} \rangle, \langle \Delta \rangle\} \rightarrow_c \Gamma\{\langle \Delta, C^{\vdash} \rangle\}.$   
- Relation  $\rightarrow_w$  (weakening) defined by :  
 $\Gamma\{C^{\vdash}\}\{\emptyset\} \rightarrow_w \Gamma\{C^{\vdash}\}\{\Sigma\}$  ( $\Sigma$  is T-context).

Preorder on T-sequents  $S \lesssim S'$  defined by :  $S \lesssim S'$  if and only if  $S(\rightarrow_c + \rightarrow_w)^* S'$  where  $(\rightarrow_c + \rightarrow_w)^*$  is the reflexive and transitive closure of the union of the two relations.  $S \cong S'$  if and only if  $S \lesssim S'$  and  $S' \lesssim S$ .

#### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  be T-sequents such that  $\mathcal{S} \lesssim \mathcal{S}'$ . If  $\vdash_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{IKTh}}^n}^n \mathcal{S}$  then  $\vdash_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{IKTh}}^n}^n \mathcal{S}'$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ─ □

#### A notion of redundancy with T-sequents

A derivation is said to be **redundant** if it contains two T-sequents  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , with  $S_1$  occurring strictly above  $S_2$  in the same branch, such that  $S_1 \lesssim S_2$ . It is said to be **irredundant** if it is not redundant

#### Proposition [Irredundant proof]

For all Th  $\subseteq$  {*T*, *B*, 4, 5}, if a T-sequent is valid in IKTh, then it has an irredundant proof in  $G_{IKTh}^-$ .

#### Proposition [Finite partition]

Let S be a T-sequent, Th  $\in \{\emptyset, \{T\}, \{B\}, \{T, B\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a derivation of S in  $G^-_{\mathsf{IKTh}}$ . The set of all T-sequents appearing in  $\mathcal{D}$  is partitioned into a finite set of equivalence classes by  $\cong$ .

Then the set  $\mathcal{B}$  of all branches of all T-sequents appearing in  $\mathcal{D}$  is finite.

A decision procedure for IKTh with Th  $\in \{\emptyset, \{T\}, \{B\}, \{T, B\}\}$ , that is based on  $G_{\mathsf{IKTh}}^-$  calculus and the search of an irredundant proof of the given T-sequent.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a T-sequent.

- **Step** 1. We start with the derivation containing only S which is the unique irredundant derivation of size 1. If this derivation is a proof then we return it. Otherwise we move to the next step.

- **Step** i + 1. We build the set of all the irredundant derivations of size i + 1. If this set contains a proof of S then we return it. Otherwise if this set is empty then S is not valid, else we move to the next step.

**Correctness** : from Proposition [Irredundant proof] and soundness and completeness of the T-sequent calculus.

**Termination** : from Proposition [Finite partition] and there is only a finite number of rule applications that extend the size from i to i + 1.

An example (in IK{T}) **Step 1** :  $Der_1 = \{ \diamondsuit \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \}$ 

An example (in IK{*T*}) **Step 1** :  $Der_1 = \{ \Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \}$ **Step 2** :  $Der_2 = \{ \overline{\Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash}} [\supset_R] \}$ 

An example (in  $IK\{T\}$ ) **Step 1** :  $Der_1 = \{ \Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \}$  $\textbf{Step 2}: \textit{Der}_2 = \{ \begin{array}{c} \diamondsuit \square A, A^{\vdash} \\ \diamondsuit \square A \supset A^{\vdash} \end{array} [ \supset_R ] \}$  $\frac{\diamondsuit \Box A, \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\diamondsuit \Box A, A^{\vdash}} [\diamondsuit_{L}]$ Step3 :  $Der_{3} = \{ \begin{array}{c} \diamondsuit \Box A, A^{\vdash} \\ \hline \diamondsuit \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \end{array} [\supset_{R}] \}$ 

An example (in  $IK\{T\}$ ) **Step 1**:  $Der_1 = \{ \Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \}$  $\textbf{Step 2}: \textit{Der}_2 = \{ \begin{array}{c} \diamondsuit \square A, A^{\vdash} \\ \diamondsuit \square A \supset A^{\vdash} \end{array} [\supset_R] \}$  $\frac{\diamondsuit \Box A, \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\diamondsuit \Box A, A^{\vdash}} [\diamondsuit_{L}]$ Step3 :  $Der_{3} = \{ \begin{array}{c} \diamondsuit \Box A, A^{\vdash} \\ \hline \diamondsuit \Box A \supset A^{\vdash} \\ \hline \diamondsuit \Box A \\ \end{bmatrix} \}$  $\frac{\frac{\Diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\Diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]}{\frac{\Diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\Diamond \Box A, A^{\vdash}} [\Diamond_{L}]}$   $\text{Step 4 : } Der_{4} = \{$ 32 / 41

D. GALMICHE and Y. SALHI (LORIA)

An example (in  $IK{T}$ )

The derivations of size 5 that we can obtain from the derivation in Der<sub>4</sub> are :

$$\frac{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \frac{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Diamond_{L}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \frac{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \frac{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}}{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, A \cup A, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, A \cup A, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, A \cup A, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{L}^{T}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{R}]} \xrightarrow{\langle \Box A, A \cup A, A^{\vdash}} [\Box_{R}]}$$

Redundancies :

$$\begin{array}{l} \diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A, A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash} \lesssim \diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash} \mbox{ et } \\ \diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A \rangle, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash} \lesssim \diamond \Box A, \langle \Box A, A \rangle, A^{\vdash}. \end{array}$$

We deduce that  $\Diamond \Box A \supset A^{\vdash}$  is not valid in IK{T}

### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

## A Multi-contextual Structure : MC-sequent

A MC-sequent is a multi-contextual structure of the form :

 $\Gamma_1;\ldots;\Gamma_k\vdash\Gamma\vdash C$ 

- $\forall i \in [1, k], \Gamma_i$  multi-sets of formulae (Contexts)
- Γ is a multi-set of formulae (Current context)
- *C* is a formula (Conclusion)
- Corresponding formula :

$$(\diamondsuit(\bigwedge \Gamma_1) \land \ldots \land \diamondsuit(\bigwedge \Gamma_k)) \supset ((\bigwedge \Gamma) \supset C)$$

- Spatial distribution of the assumptions
- MC-sequents are not hypersequents :

## A sequent calculus for IS5

Axioms and right rules of  $G_{\text{IS5}}$ 

• Axioms : 
$$G \vdash \Gamma, A \vdash A$$
 [*Id*]  $G \vdash \Gamma, \bot \vdash C$  [ $\bot^1$ ]  $G \colon \Gamma', \bot \vdash \Gamma \vdash C$  [ $\bot^2$ ]

Two cut rules :  $\frac{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash C} \xrightarrow{G \vdash \Gamma, A \vdash C} [Cut^{1}] \qquad \frac{G; \Gamma \vdash \Gamma' \vdash A}{G; \Gamma' \vdash \Gamma \vdash C} \xrightarrow{G; \Gamma', A \vdash \Gamma \vdash C} [Cut^{2}]$ 

■ Right rules :  

$$\frac{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A \land B} [\land_R] = \frac{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A \lor B} [\lor_R^1]$$

$$\frac{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A \land B}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A \lor B} [\lor_R^2] = \frac{G \vdash \Gamma \land A \vdash B}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A \supset B} [\supset_R]$$

$$\frac{G; \Gamma \vdash \vdash A}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Box A} [\Box_R] = \frac{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash A}{G \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Diamond A} [\diamondsuit_R^1] = \frac{G; \Gamma \vdash \Gamma' \vdash A}{G; \Gamma' \vdash \Gamma \vdash \Diamond A} [\diamondsuit_R^2]$$

12

E ► < E ► ...</p>

## A sequent calculus for IS5

Left rules of  $G_{IS5}$ 

Two kinds of left rules (L-rules and LL-rules) :

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト … ヨ

## A sequent calculus for IS5

Properties of the  $G_{\mathsf{IS5}}$  calculus :

- Soundness and completeness of G<sub>IS5</sub> for IS5.
- Cut-elimination property and subformula property.
- New decision procedure for IS5
  - A preorder on MC-sequent
  - A notion of redundant derivation
  - Decision : search of irredundant proof of the MC-sequent
- New syntactic proof of decidability for IS5

#### Plan

#### 1 Introduction

- 2 Classical and Intuitionistic Modal Logics
- 3 A new multi-contextual structure : Tree-sequent
- 4 A label-free sequent calculus for IK and IKTh
- 5 T-sequent calculi and decidability
- 6 MC-sequent and IS5
- 7 Conclusion and perspectives

# Conclusion and perspectives

- A new structure : T-sequent
- Label-free sequent calculi for intuitionistic modal logics based on *T*, *B*, 4 et 5
- Cut-elimination property and subformula property
- Decision procedures in some cases

#### More details in Journal of Logic and Computation, 2015

- A new structure : MC-sequent
- Label-free sequent calculi for IS5
- Cut-elimination property and subformula property
- A decision procedure for IS5

More details in LPAR proceedings, 2010

# Conclusion and perspectives

New results of decidability (syntactic proofs)

- $\blacksquare$  IK{4} and IS4 : another structure, another notion of redundancy
- IK{5}, IK{4,5} and IB4 : variants of T-sequent or MC-sequent.
- New decision procedures and improvements of existing ones
- Complexity of proof-search in intuitionistic modal logics
- Study of proof-theory in intermediate logics
- Combination of proof-search with countermodel generation in intutionistic modal logics.